The § 103(b) objection on claim 16

The Examiner rejected Claim 16 as being unpatentable over Farnsworth (US 1,832,974) in view of Atkins (US 1120432) and Faeber (US 3037557) and the admitted prior art, paragraph [0003] thru [0008].

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and passage to allowance of claim 16 as amended.

The following are the differences between the cited prior art and the claims.

Claim 16 (currently amended)	Differences from claim 16		
	Farnsworth (US 1832974)	Atkins (US 1120432)	Faeber (US 3037557)
A method for conveying a sheet of paper in a paper converting machine	It is addressed to treating a continuous felt or wire, for suction of water from the pulp thereof- see p.1, l. 52-57	It is addressed to treating a web and not a sheet of paper	It is addressed to treating a web and not a sheet of paper c.1, l. 11-17
selected from the group consisting of rewinding, winding and interfolding machines, comprising:,	It is a paper maker, for drying paper pulp by suction of water - see p.1, l. 55-57	It is a paper maker, for drying paper of water - see p.1, l. 17-17; 33-34	It is addressed to printing , coating, laminating, making paper
said machine comprising a conveying roller for sheets, said conveying roller comprising:	It is not a conveying roller but a drying roller	It is not a conveying roller but a drying roller	It may be a tensioning or drying roller
providing a first cylindrical shaped tubular body having interior and exterior surfaces and a plurality of radial holes arranged in substantially longitudinal rows;	There are not holes arranged in a row, but two longitudinally spaced groups of holes		
providing a second fixed cylindrical shaped tubular body arranged coaxially within said first cylindrical shaped tubular body			

said first cylindrical shaped tubular body capable of rotation relative to said second fixed tubular body, and			
providing two spaced stationary, but slidable, sealing elements positioned between said first cylindrical tubular body and said second fixed tubular body,		There is only one single sealing element S	
said two slidable sealing elements spaced at a predetermined angle with respect to each other, said slidable sealing elements extending radially from said second fixed cylindrical shaped tubular body		There not spaced sealing elements	There are three separate suction chambers that can be open selectively
and comprising a fixed portion as a means for forming a longitudinal guide and a bar within said guide, wherein said bar can slide and resiliently engage with said interior surface of said first cylindrical shaped tubular body, said bar and said guide of said slidable sealing elements longitudinally oriented and extending for all the length of said second cylindrical tubular body,	There are two longidudinally spaced suction chambers, and there is not a single bar for all the length	there are not two bars for all the length	there is not a single bar for all the length
defining by at least one opening between said second fixed tubular body and by said two spaced stationary sealing elements one single air suction chamber that communicates with a suction generating system, said suction chamber extending for all the length of the second fixed tubular body;	There is not a single suction chamber for all the length	There is not a single suction chamber for all the length	There are three separate suction chambers that can be opened selectively
rotating said first cylindrical shaped tubular body relative to said second fixed tubular body in order to bring said suction chamber in communication with a row of said radial holes during the relative rotation of said bodies,	There is not a row of holes		There are three separate suction chambers that can be open selectively
causing an end of said sheet to be captured by suction by said row and dragged by said first tubular body for a rotation corresponding to said angle .	It is not addressed to drag sheets	It is not addressed to drag sheets	It is not addressed to drag sheets

Applicant refers also to the differences argued previously, in the prosecution history of this application.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is now in condition for allowance, which is courteously requested. The Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney if such contact will facilitate an efficient examination and allowance of the application.

Respectfully yours,

/Kurt R. Denniston/

Kurt R. Denniston
Registration No. 59,605
Attorney for Applicant
Simpson & Simpson, PLLC
5555 Main Street
Williamsville, NY 14221
Phone: (716) 626-1564
Fax: (716) 626-0366

Dated: January 7, 2011
KRD/MC